arXiv:1007.4036v2 [math.SG] 18 Jun 2011

SYMPLECTIC REDUCTION OF QUASI-MORPHISMS AND QUASI-STATES

MATTHEW STROM BORMAN

Abstract. We prove that quasi-morphisms and quasi-states on a closed integral symplectic manifold descend under symplectic reduction to symplectic hyperplane sections. Along the way we show that quasi-morphisms that arise from spectral invariants are the Calabi homomorphism when restricted to Hamiltonians supported on stably displaceable sets.

1. Introduction and results

1.1. **An overview.** In the series of papers [EP03, EP06, EP08, EP09], Entov and Polterovich introduced a way to construct quasi-morphisms on the universal cover of the Hamiltonian group and symplectic quasi-states, when (M, ω) is a closed symplectic manifold. In order to determine if their construction will work for a specific (M^{2n}, ω), one must compute the quantum homology ring $QH_{2n}(M, \omega)$ and determine if it contains a field summand, which in practice can be a nontrivial task. In this paper we introduce a reduction method that allows one to build quasi-morphisms and symplectic quasi-states from known examples, without requiring further quantum homology calculations. This process is presented formally in Theorems 4 and 5, which are the main results of this paper.

As an example, suppose that $(M, \omega; \Sigma)$ forms a subcritical polarization where $\Sigma \subset M$ is a complex hypersurface. If $\zeta\colon C^\infty(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a symplectic quasi-state that vanishes on functions with displaceable support, then our construction produces a symplectic quasi-state $\bar{\zeta}\colon C^\infty(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$. The main tool of the construction is Biran's decomposition theorem [Bir01], which roughly states that the unit disk normal bundle E_Σ, for the hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M$, is symplectomorphic to $M \setminus \Delta$, where in the subcritical case Δ is a displaceable set (see Section 1.5 for a precise statement). This allows us to define a map $\Theta\colon C^\infty(\Sigma) \to C^\infty(M)$, which lifts functions H on Σ to E_Σ, multiplies them by a function that vanished at the boundary of the fibers, and then views the result as a function on M using Biran's symplectomorphism. The reduced symplectic quasi-state $\bar{\zeta}\colon C^\infty(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$ is the result of pulling ζ back by the map Θ. The construction for quasi-morphisms proceeds in a similar fashion.

This reduction method produces quasi-morphisms and symplectic quasi-states on the Hirzebruch surfaces, which were shown to have quasi-morphisms

---

This work is partially supported by the NSF-grant DMS 1006610.

and quasi-states by Ostrover [Ost06], but required quantum homology calculations. These and other examples are discussed in Sections 2.2 and 2.3.

1.2. **The Hamiltonian group.** Let (M^{2n}, ω) be a closed symplectic manifold, any smooth function $H \in C^\infty(M)$ determines a Hamiltonian vector field $\operatorname{sgrad} H$ on M by $\omega(\operatorname{sgrad} H, \cdot) = -dH$. In this manner any time-dependent Hamiltonian $F\colon\ M \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ gives a Hamiltonian isotopy $\phi_F = \{f_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$, by solving the differential equation $\partial_t f_t = (\operatorname{sgrad} F_t)_{f_t}$ with initial condition $f_0 = \mathbb{1}$. The collection of all such time one maps f_1 is the *Hamiltonian group* $Ham(M,\omega)$. Seen as a Lie group, its Lie algebra is $\mathcal{H}(M)$, all $H \in C^\infty(M)$ that are *normalized* to have mean zero $\int_M H\omega^n = 0$, and the bracket is the Poisson bracket

$$\{H, K\} = \omega(\operatorname{sgrad} K, \operatorname{sgrad} H) = dH(\operatorname{sgrad} K).$$

Any smooth path in $Ham(M)$ is a Hamiltonian isotopy, so the space of smooth paths based at the identity $\mathcal{P}Ham(M)$, can be identified with $\mathcal{PH}(M)$, the space of functions $F\colon\ M \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $F_t \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ at all times. The group structure of time-wise product on $\mathcal{P}Ham(M)$ carries over to $\mathcal{PH}(M)$ as $\phi_F\phi_G = \phi_{F\#G}$ and $\phi_F^{-1} = \phi_{\bar{F}}$ where

$$(F\#G)(x,t) = F(x,t) + G(f_t^{-1}(x), t) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{F}(x,t) = -F(f_t(x), t).$$

The universal cover $\widetilde{Ham}(M)$ is the path space $\mathcal{P}Ham(M)$ where elements are considered up to homotopy with fixed endpoints. See [MS98, Pol01] for further preliminaries and note we use the sign conventions of [Pol01].

1.3. **Quasi-morphisms on $\widetilde{Ham}(M)$.** A *homogeneous quasi-morphism* on a group G is a function $\mu\colon\ G \to \mathbb{R}$ so that $n\mu(g) = \mu(g^n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g \in G$, and for some $C \geq 0$:

$$|\mu(g_1g_2) - \mu(g_1) - \mu(g_2)| \leq C \quad \text{for all } g_1, g_2 \in G. \tag{1}$$

The smallest such C is the *defect* and is denoted $D(\mu)$. Homogeneous quasi-morphisms are conjugation invariant and they are genuine homomorphisms when restricted to abelian subgroups. On perfect groups, like $Ham(M)$ and $\widetilde{Ham}(M)$, they are the best one can hope for in terms of a map to $\mathbb{R}$. If μ only statisfies (1), then μ is a *quasi-morphism* and can be homogenized by forming $\breve{\mu}(g) = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu(g^n)}{n}$, which will be the unique homogeneous quasi-morphism within a bounded distance from μ. See [Cal09, Kot04] for more information about quasi-morphisms.

In [EP03], Entov and Polterovich introduced a way to build homogeneous quasi-morphisms $\mu\colon\ \widetilde{Ham}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ using spectral invariants from Hamiltonian Floer theory. We will briefly recall the construction and refer the reader to [EP03, EP08, EP09] for more details. Let $QH_*(M,\omega)$ be the quantum homology ring for (M,ω), which is $H_*(M;\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_\omega$ where Λ_ω is a Novikov ring associated to (M,ω), and its ring structure is given by a deformation of the normal intersection product using Gromov-Witten invariants. To each element $a \in QH_*(M)$ there is an associated spectral invariant, defined in terms of Hamiltonian Floer theory, which is a functional $c(a,\cdot)\colon C^\infty(M \times [0,1]) \to \mathbb{R}$.

With $c(a,\cdot)$, one can define the functional $\mu(a,\cdot)\colon C^\infty(M \times [0,1]) \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$\mu(a,F) = \int_0^1 \int_M F(x,t)\,\omega^n dt - \mathrm{Vol}(M) \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{c(a,F^{\#k})}{k}, \tag{2}$$

which descends to a function

$$\mu(a,\cdot)\colon \widetilde{Ham}(M) \to \mathbb{R}. \tag{3}$$

If $a \in QH_{2n}(M)$ is an idempotent and $a * QH_{2n}(M)$ is a field [EP09, Remark 1.23], then $\mu(a,\cdot)$ is a homogeneous quasi-morphism. Recently Usher [Ush10, Theorem 1.4] and Fukaya, Oh, Ohta, and Ono [FOOO11, Theorem 1.2] have independently extended this construction using idempotents in the big quantum homology ring, and the associated spectral invariants. Any quasi-morphism build using spectral invariants we will call a *spectral quasi-morphism*.

Spectral quasi-morphisms have the following two additional properties, where $\widetilde{Ham}_U(M)$ are all Hamiltonian paths generated by Hamiltonians with support in U (not necessarily normalized):

(1) *Calabi Property:* If $U \subset M$ is open and displaceable, then μ restricted to $\widetilde{Ham}_U(M)$ is the Calabi homomorphism Cal_U given by

$$\mathrm{Cal}_U(\phi_F) = \int_0^1 \int_U F_t\,\omega^n dt.$$

(2) *Stability Property:* There is some $B > 0$, so that for all $F, G \in \mathcal{PH}(M)$,

$$\int_0^1 \min_M (F_t - G_t)\,dt \le \frac{\mu(\phi_G) - \mu(\phi_F)}{B} \le \int_0^1 \max_M (F_t - G_t)\,dt.$$

In the case of spectral quasi-morphisms B can be taken to be $\mathrm{Vol}(M)$. We will say that a quasi-morphism μ on $\widetilde{Ham}(M)$ is *stable* if it has the stability property.

A subset $X \subset M$ is *displaceable* if there is a $\varphi \in Ham(M,\omega)$ so that $\varphi(X) \cap \overline{X} = \emptyset$ and X is *stably displaceable* if $X \times S^1 \subset M \times T^*S^1$ is displaceable. Stable displaceability does not imply displaceability as shown in [EP09, Example 1.30]. For spectral quasi-morphisms, the Calabi property can be strengthen to include stably displaceable sets, which will be proved in Section 2.1.

Theorem 1. *Let* $U \subset (M,\omega)$ *be a stably displaceable open subset, then spectral quasi-morphisms* $\mu(a,\cdot)\colon \widetilde{Ham}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ *restrict to the Calabi homomorphism* Cal_U *on* $\widetilde{Ham}_U(M)$.

Recently Shelukhin [She11, Corollary 1] proved that there is a quasi-morphism on $\widetilde{Ham}(M)$ for any closed symplectic manifold, using a construction that is not Floer-theoretic. Theorem 4 and 5 below cannot be directly applied to Shelukhin's quasi-morphisms since they do not have the Calabi property and they do not induce quasi-states.

1.4. **Symplectic quasi-states and quasi-measures.** *Symplectic quasi-stats* are functionals $\zeta\colon C^\infty(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for $H, K \in C^\infty(M)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$:

(1) *Quasi-linearity*: If $\{H, K\} = 0$, then $\zeta(H + aK) = \zeta(H) + a\,\zeta(K)$.
(2) *Monotonicity*: If $H \leq K$, then $\zeta(H) \leq \zeta(K)$.
(3) *Normalization*: $\zeta(1) = 1$.

Note that these properties imply that ζ is Lipschitz with respect to the C^0-norm, $|\zeta(H) - \zeta(K)| \leq \|H - K\|$.

This notion was introduced by Entov and Polterovich [EP06] and is the symplectic version of Aarnes' notion of a *topological quasi-state* [Aar91]. These are functionals $\zeta\colon C(X) \to \mathbb{R}$, for X a compact space, that are monotonic, normalized, and quasi-linear in the sense that if $F \in C(X)$ and $g, h \in C(\mathbb{R})$, then $\zeta(g \circ F + h \circ F) = \zeta(g \circ F) + \zeta(h \circ F)$. Aarnes proved a Riesz representation theorem for quasi-states showing they correspond to topological quasi-measures, which are additive set functions $\tau\colon \mathcal{A} \to [0, 1]$ where $\mathcal{A}$ are the subsets of X that are either open or closed. The quasi-state ζ determines the quasi-measure τ_ζ, where for a closed set $C \subset X$

$$\tau_\zeta(C) = \inf\{\zeta(F) \mid F : X \to [0, 1],\ F \geq \mathbb{1}_C\}.$$

Being a symplectic quasi-state is a stronger condition than being a topological quasi-state.

A stable homogeneous quasi-morphism $\mu\colon \widetilde{Ham}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ induces a symplectic quasi-state $\zeta_\mu\colon C^\infty(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ via

$$\zeta_\mu(H) = \frac{\int_M H\omega^n - \mu(\phi_{H_n})}{\mathrm{Vol}(M)}, \tag{4}$$

where $H_n = H - \frac{\int_M H\omega^n}{\mathrm{Vol}(M)}$. Quasi-linearity of ζ_μ follows from the fact that ϕ_H and ϕ_K commute if $\{H, K\} = 0$, and quasi-morphisms are homomorphisms on abelian subgroups. The monotonicity of ζ_μ follows from the stability property for μ.

Symplectic quasi-states formed as in (4) are $Ham(M)$ invariant, since $\mu(\phi_H) = \mu(\psi^{-1}\phi_H\psi)$ by conjugation invariance of quasi-morphisms, and $\psi^{-1}\phi_H\psi = \phi_{H\circ\psi}$. If μ has the Calabi property, then ζ_μ vanishes on functions with displaceable support and hence the associated quasi-measure τ_μ will vanish on displaceable sets. Since the symplectic quasi-state ζ_μ is $Ham(M)$ invariant, the associated quasi-measure τ_μ will also be $Ham(M)$ invariant, which allows it to detect nondisplaceability. For if $\varphi \in Ham(M)$ displaced $X \subset M$, then $\tau_\mu(X) \leq 1/2$ since

$$2\,\tau_\mu(X) = \tau_\mu(X) + \tau_\mu(\varphi(X)) = \tau_\mu(X \cup \varphi(X)) \leq 1.$$

Consider now a spectral quasi-morphism $\mu(a,\cdot) : \widetilde{Ham}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$. The associated symplectic quasi-state $\zeta(a,\cdot) : C^\infty(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\zeta(a,H) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{c(a,kH)}{k},$$

and we can form the associated symplectic quasi-measure $\tau(a,\cdot)$. In [EP09, Definition 1.4], the notion of a closed subset $X \subset M$ being *(super)heavy* (with respect to an idempotent a) was introduced. When a gives a spectral quasi-morphism, these two notions agree and are equivalent to $\tau(a,X) = 1$ [EP09, Remark 1.23]. In this language they prove [EP09, Theorem 1.4] that if X is stably displaceable, then $\tau(a,X) \neq 1$. This result can be strengthen to the following theorem, which is proven in Section 3.6.

Theorem 2. *For any subset $V \subset M$, if V is stably displaceable, then $\tau(a,V) = 0$.*

For a symplectic quasi-state $\zeta\colon C^\infty(M) \to \mathbb{R}$, consider the inequality

$$\Pi_\zeta(H,K) := |\zeta(H+K) - \zeta(H) - \zeta(K)| \leq C\sqrt{\|\{H,K\}\|}. \tag{5}$$

In [EPZ07, Theorem 1.4] it is proved that (5) holds for symplectic quasi-states formed from stable homogeneous quasi-morphisms as in (4), where C can be taken to be $\sqrt{2D(\mu)/\operatorname{Vol}(M)}$. If (5) holds for some C, let $C(\zeta)$ be the smallest number for which it is satisfied for all $H,K \in C^\infty(M)$. Due a result of Cardin and Viterbo [CV08, Theorem 1.2], which was later generalized by Entov and Polterovich [EP10b, Theorem 1.1] and Buhovsky [Buh10, Theorem 1.1.2], it makes sense to say that two continuous functions H and K Poisson commute if they have smooth C^0-approximations H_n and K_n such that $\|\{H_n,K_n\}\| \to 0$. Therefore (5) says that symplectic quasi-states are linear on continuous functions that Poisson commute. The inequality (5) is the main tool used to lower bound the Poisson bracket invariants introduced by Buhovsky, Entov, and Polterovich [BEP11].

1.5. Polarizations and Biran's decomposition theorem.

Definition 3. A *polarization* $\mathcal{P} = (M^{2n}, \Omega, J; \Sigma)$ is a closed Kähler manifold with $[\Omega] \in H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ and a closed connected complex hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M$ such that $[\Sigma] \in H_{2n-2}(M,\mathbb{Z})$ is Poincaré dual to $k[\Omega] \in H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$. By scaling Ω by k, we will assume that $k=1$ and hence $\operatorname{Vol}(M,\Omega) = \operatorname{Vol}(\Sigma,\Omega_\Sigma)$.

Given a polarization $\mathcal{P} = (M,\Omega,J;\Sigma)$, there is a compact isotropic celluar subspace $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \subset M$, which is disjoint from Σ and is called the *skeleton* associated to $\mathcal{P}$. An example of a polarization is a linear $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$, where $\mathbb{CP}^n$ is given the Fubini-Study form Ω with $\int_{\mathbb{CP}^1} \Omega = 1$. If $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ is given by $\{z_0 = 0\}$, then the skeleton $\Delta = \{[1\!:\!0\!:\!\cdots\!:\!0]\}$ is a single point.

One can build a symplectic disk bundle $\pi\colon (E_\Sigma, \omega_{\text{can}}) \to \Sigma$ using the normal bundle from $\Sigma \subset M$, such that (Σ, ω_Σ) symplectically embeds into

$(E_\Sigma, \omega_{\mathrm{can}})$ as the zero section. Biran's decomposition theorem for polarizations [Bir01, Theorem 2.6.A] says there is a canonical symplectomorphism $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(E_\Sigma, \omega_{\mathrm{can}}) \arrow[r, "F_{\mathcal{P}}"] & (M \setminus \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}, \Omega) \\
(\Sigma, \Omega_\Sigma) \arrow[u, "\text{0-section}"] \arrow[r, equal] & (\Sigma, \Omega_\Sigma) \arrow[u, "\text{inclusion}"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6}$$

The disk bundle E_Σ comes with a hermitian metric on the fibers such that each fiber is the open disk of radius one. The radius on the fibers gives a global coordinate function r on E_Σ and the map $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ is such that any open neighborhood of $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ contains the complement of $F_{\mathcal{P}}(\{e \in E_\Sigma \mid r(e) \leq 1-\epsilon\})$ for some small ϵ. For more details see Section 3.1 and [Bir01, Bir06, BC01].

1.6. Symplectic reduction of quasi-morphisms and symplectic quasi-states.

We will now describe our procedure for reducing quasi-morphisms and symplectic quasi-states from the total space M of a polarization to the hypersurface Σ, which is the main idea in this paper.

Let $\theta\colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative function such that $\theta(0) = 1$, vanishes in a neighborhood of 1, and defines a smooth function $\theta(r)$ on E_Σ, where r is the radial coordinate on E_Σ. To θ we associate a linear, order preserving map

$$\Theta\colon C^\infty(\Sigma) \to C^\infty(M) \quad \text{given by} \quad \Theta(H) = (F_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1})^*(\theta \cdot \pi^* H), \tag{7}$$

where $\pi\colon E_\Sigma \to \Sigma$ is the projection and $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the symplectomorphism in (6). When notationally convenient we will consider θ as the the function $\Theta(1)$ on M, and observe that the condition that θ vanishes near 1 ensures that $\Theta(H)\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth. If $H \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ has zero mean, then so does $\theta \cdot \pi^* H \in C^\infty(E_\Sigma)$ (see (11)). Therefore we can view Θ as a linear map

$$\Theta\colon \mathcal{PH}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{PH}(M).$$

A nice class of maps are given by Θ_ϵ, where $\theta_\epsilon(r)\colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ interpolates between $1 - r^2$ when $r \leq 1 - \epsilon$ and zero when $r \geq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

Theorem 4. *Let* $\mu\colon \widetilde{Ham}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a homogeneous quasi-morphism and suppose there is an open neighborhood* U *of* $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ *so that* μ *restricts to the Calabi homomorphism on* $\widetilde{Ham}(M)_U$. *Then* μ *descends to a homogeneous quasi-morphism* $\hat{\mu}$, *where for any* ϵ *sufficiently small:*

$$\hat{\mu}\colon \widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R} \quad \textit{is defined by} \quad \hat{\mu}(\varphi) = \mu(\phi_{\Theta_\epsilon(F)}), \tag{8}$$

where $F \in \mathcal{PH}(\Sigma)$ *generates* $\varphi \in \widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma)$. *The defect of* $\hat{\mu}$ *has upper bound* $D(\hat{\mu}) \leq 2D(\mu)$. *If* μ *is stable, then so is* $\hat{\mu}$, *and if* μ *also has the Calabi property then the normalized version of* $\hat{\mu}$

$$\bar{\mu}\colon \widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R} \quad \textit{defined by} \quad \bar{\mu}(\varphi) = \zeta(\theta_\epsilon)^{-1}\mu(\phi_{\Theta_\epsilon(F)})$$

will also have the Calabi property, where ζ *is the symplectic quasi-state associated to* μ*.*

The condition on ϵ is that the complement of $F_{\mathcal{P}}(\{e \in E_\Sigma \mid r(e) \leq 1-\epsilon\})$ is a subset of the neighborhood U of $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$. Since μ is the Calabi homomorphism on $\widetilde{Ham}(M)_U$, it follows from (4) that ζ vanishes on functions supported in U. Therefore $M \setminus U$ has full quasi-measure $\tau_\zeta(M \setminus U) = 1$, and hence $\zeta(\theta_\epsilon) > 0$ since θ_ϵ is strictly positive on $M \setminus U$. The map Θ can also be used to pullback symplectic quasi-states ζ on M to Σ.

Theorem 5. *Suppose that* $\zeta\colon C^\infty(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a symplectic quasi-state and let* θ *as in* (7) *be such that* $\zeta(\theta) > 0$*. Then the functional* $\bar{\zeta}_\theta\colon C^\infty(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$*, defined as*

$$\bar{\zeta}_\theta(F) = \frac{\zeta(\Theta(F))}{\zeta(\theta)}, \tag{9}$$

is a symplectic quasi-state. The properties of vanishing on functions with displaceable support and being Ham *invariant descend to* $\bar{\zeta}_\theta$ *should* ζ *have them. If* ζ *satisfies* (5)*, then* $\bar{\zeta}_\theta$ *does as well with* $C(\bar{\zeta}_\theta) \leq \frac{C(\zeta)}{\zeta(\theta)} \left\| \frac{\theta(r)}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \right\|$*.*

Such θ exist if and only if the skeleton $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ does not have full quasi-measure with respect to τ_ζ, and in these cases they will exist with abundance. Theorems 4 and 5 are proved in Section 3.4, and examples of when they apply are given in Sections 2.2 and 2.3.

Remark 6. If Theorem 4 applies to a stable $\mu : \widetilde{Ham}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$, then we have two ways to build symplectic quasi-states on Σ. We can reduce μ to $\bar{\mu}$ as in Theorem 4, and then form the associated quasi-state $\zeta_{\bar{\mu}}$. Alternatively we can first form the associated quasi-state $\zeta = \zeta_\mu$ on M, and then reduce it to $\bar{\zeta}_{\theta_\epsilon}$ as in Theorem 5, where ϵ must be small enough for Theorem 4 to apply. These two symplectic quasi-states $\zeta_{\bar{\mu}}$ and $\bar{\zeta}_{\theta_\epsilon}$ are equal, which can be verified by checking on normalized Hamiltonians $F \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$

$$\zeta_{\bar{\mu}}(F) = -\frac{\bar{\mu}(\phi_F)}{\operatorname{Vol}(\Sigma)} = -\frac{\mu(\phi_{\Theta_\epsilon(F)})}{\zeta(\theta_\epsilon)\operatorname{Vol}(\Sigma)} = \frac{\zeta(\Theta_\epsilon(F))}{\zeta(\theta_\epsilon)} = \bar{\zeta}_{\theta_\epsilon}(F).$$

Remark 7. Theorems 4 and 5 only rely on the Biran decomposition (6), for which the condition that (M, Ω, J) be Kähler is not essential. Let (M^{2n}, Ω) be a closed symplectic manifold with $[\Omega] \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and let Σ^{2n-2} be a closed symplectic submanifold Poincaré dual to $k[\Omega]$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In this setting $(M, \Omega; \Sigma)$, Opshtein proved a Biran decomposition result [Ops11, Theorem 1] where the the skeleton Δ in (6) has zero volume. Under the additional assumption that $M \setminus \Sigma$ is a Weinstein manifold, Σ is called a *symplectic hyperplane section* and for these Biran and Khanevsky [BK11, Section 2.3] explain that Biran's decomposition holds with the skeleton Δ being an isotropic cellular subspace. Closed symplectic submanifolds $\Sigma^{2n-2} \subset (M^{2n}, \Omega)$ that are Poincaré dual to $k[\Omega] \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ exist for sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by Donaldson [Don96]. For k sufficiently large, these

Donaldson symplectic hypersurfaces also satisfy the condition that $M \setminus \Sigma$ is Weinstein by Giroux [Gir02, Proposition 11].

Remark 8. Suppose that in Theorem 4 we replaced Biran's symplectomorphism $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ in (6) with a symplectic embedding of a disk bundle over Σ into a tubular neighborhood of Σ in M. For a small enough tubular neighborhood of Σ, reducing any spectral quasi-morphism $\mu(a, \cdot)$ this way will result in the trivial quasi-morphism by Corollary 11. Therefore a priori Theorems 4 and 5 cannot be made into local constructions.

In [Bor11], the constructions in this paper are adjusted to the case of symplectic reduction for Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^k$-actions on a level set of the moment map $\Phi : (M, \omega) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ with full quasi-measure. In contrast to this paper, the constructions in [Bor11] are truly local in nature.

Remark 9. This method of reducing quasi-morphisms and symplectic quasi-states also works in the setting of Liouville domains (M, ω, L), when the Reeb vector field for $\alpha = (\iota_L \omega)|_{\partial M}$ induces a free S^1-action on ∂M. Then the analogues of Theorems 4 and 5 hold and allow one to reduce quasi-morphism and symplectic quasi-states on M to the reduction $\Sigma = \partial M / S^1$.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank my advisor Leonid Polterovich for his wonderful help, guidance, and encouragement related to this work. I am grateful to Paul Biran for his generous help with examples of subcritical polarizations and explaining his work with Yochay Jerby to me. I would also like to thank Egor Shelukhin for useful discussions.

2. Remarks and examples

2.1. A criterion for a spectral quasi-morphism to restrict to the Calabi homomophism.

From the definitions, whenever a stable homogeneous quasi-morphism on $\widetilde{Ham}(M)$ restricts to the Calabi homomorphism on $\widetilde{Ham}_U(M)$ for an open set U, the associated quasi-measure vanishes on U. It turns out that the converse is true as well for spectral quasi-morphisms.

Proposition 10. *Let* $\mu(a, \cdot)\colon \widetilde{Ham}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a spectral quasi-morphism and let* $\tau(a, \cdot)$ *be its associated symplectic quasi-measure. If an open subset* $U \subset M$ *has zero measure* $\tau(a, U) = 0$*, then* μ *restricts to the Calabi homomorphism on* $\widetilde{Ham}(M)_U$*.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \widetilde{Ham}_U(M)$ be generated by a Hamiltonian $F\colon M \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(F_t) \subset U$ for all t. The fact that $\tau(a, U) = 0$ implies that $\zeta(a, H) = 0$ for all $H \in C^\infty(M)$ that are zero outside of U. There exist functions $A, B\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ that are zero outside U so that as time dependent functions $kA \leq F^{\#k} \leq kB$ and hence by the monotonicity of spectral invariants

$$0 = \zeta(A) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{c(a, kA)}{k} \leq \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{c(a, F^{\#k})}{k} \leq \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{c(a, kB)}{k} = \zeta(B) = 0.$$

Therefore the limit term vanishes in (2) and hence $\mu(a, \varphi) = \mathrm{Cal}_U(\varphi)$. □

We can now prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. By Theorem 2, a stably displaceable set U has zero measure and hence by Proposition 10, $\mu(a,\cdot)$ restricts to the Calabi homomorphism on $\widetilde{Ham}_U(M)$. $\square$

A corollary to Theorem 1 is the following.

Corollary 11. *Let $\Sigma \subset (M,\omega)$ be a closed nowhere coisotropic submanifold, then Σ is stably displaceable and hence its has a neighborhood on which $\mu(a,\cdot)$ restricts to the Calabi homomorphism. A special case being when $\Sigma \subset M$ is a closed symplectic submanifold.*

Proof. Observe that $\Sigma \times S^1 \subset M \times T^*S^1$ is nowhere coisotropic and has at least one nonvanishing section of its normal bundle. Therefore by Gürel's Displacement Principle [Gür08, Theorem 1.1], we know that $\Sigma \times S^1$ is displaceable in $M \times T^*S^1$. $\square$

2.2. **Reduction for subcritical polarizations.** The skeleton $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ of a polarization $\mathcal{P} = (M^{2n}, \Omega, J; \Sigma)$ is an isotropic cellular subspace and can be replaced with an isotropic CW-complex Δ of the same dimension such that the decomposition (6) still holds [Bir01, Theorem 2.6.C]. A polarization is *subcritical* if $\dim \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} < n$ and is *critical* if $\dim \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} = n$, which are the only options since $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ is isotropic. In the subcritical case, the Isotopy Theorem [BC01, Theorem 6.1.1] states that Δ is displaceable. The following is now a corollary of Theorems 4 and 5.

Corollary 12. *Let $\mathcal{P} = (M^{2n}, \Omega, J; \Sigma)$ be a subcritical polarization. Calabi quasi-morphisms $\mu\colon \widetilde{Ham}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ descend to $\bar{\mu}\colon \widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$ as in Theorem 4. Symplectic quasi-states ζ on (M,ω) with the vanishing property descend to symplectic quasi-states $\bar{\zeta}$ on (Σ, Ω_Σ) as in Theorem 5.*

2.2.1. *The Hirzebruch surfaces are hypersurfaces in subcritical polarizations.* The Hirzebruch surfaces are given by

$$\Sigma_k = \{(z,w) \in \mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \mid z_0 w_0^k + z_1 w_1^k = 0\}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, when k is even Σ_k is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$, and when k is odd Σ_k is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2$ blown-up at $[0:0:1]$. Let ω_n be the Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{CP}^n$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{CP}^1} \omega_n = 1$. Observe that the Poincaré dual of $[\Sigma_k] \in H_4(\mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1; \mathbb{Z})$ is $[\Omega_k] := [\omega_2] + k[\omega_1]$. Therefore $\mathcal{P}_k = (\mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \Omega_k, J; \Sigma_k)$ is a polarization of degree 1.

The holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L}_k \to \mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ determined by Σ_k, has an unique (up to scaling) holomorphic section s_k with zero set Σ_k. Picking a hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathcal{L}_k$ gives a function $\rho_k\colon \mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \Sigma_k \to \mathbb{R}$ where

$$\rho_k(z,w) = -\|s_k(z,w)\|^2 = -\frac{\left|z_0 w_0^k + z_1 w_1^k\right|^2}{\|z\|^2 \|w\|^{2k}}.$$

The skeleton $\Delta_k = \Delta_{\mathcal{P}_k}$ is defined to be the union of the stable manifolds for $\nabla \rho_k$. We have that

$$\Delta_k = \{[\bar{w}_0^k : \bar{w}_1^k : 0] \times [w_0 : w_1] \mid w \in \mathbb{CP}^1\}$$

which is 2-dimensional, and therefore the polarization $\mathcal{P}_k$ is subcritical. See [Bir01, Section 3] for similar examples. To summarize:

Proposition 13. *The polarizations* $\mathcal{P}_k = (\mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \Omega_k = \omega_2 + k\,\omega_1, J; \Sigma_k)$ *given by the Hizebruch surfaces* Σ_k *are subcritical and the symplectomorphism types of the hypersurfaces are as follows:*

1. $(\Sigma_{2l}, \Omega_{2l}|_{\Sigma_{2l}})$ *is symplectomorphic to* $(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega_1 \oplus 3l\,\omega_1)$*,*
2. $(\Sigma_{2l+1}, \Omega_{2l+1}|_{\Sigma_{2l+1}})$ *is symplectomorphic to* $(\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}, \omega)$*, where* ω *is the symplectic form with* $\omega(L) = 3l+2$ *for* L *the general line and* $\omega(E) = 3l+1$ *for* E *the exceptional divisor.*

These two families of rational ruled surfaces have quasi-morphisms and symplectic quasi-states that descend from $(\mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \Omega_k)$*, as in Corollary 12.*

Proof. The Σ_k are ruled surfaces, since projection onto the $\mathbb{CP}^1$ factor $\pi_k \colon \Sigma_k \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ gives a $\mathbb{CP}^1$-fiber bundle. Hence by Lalonde and McDuff [LM96], the symplectomorphism type of $(\Sigma_k, \Omega_k|_{\Sigma_k})$ is determined by the cohomology class $[\Omega_k|_{\Sigma_k}] \in H^2(\Sigma_k, \mathbb{Z})$. A basis for $H_2(\Sigma_k, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$F_k = [\pi_k^{-1}([1:b])] = [\{z_0 + z_1 b^k = 0\} \times [1:b]] \quad \text{and} \quad D_k = [[0:0:1] \times \mathbb{CP}^1],$$

where $\Omega_k(F_k) = 1$ and $\Omega_k(D_k) = k$, and the intersections are $F_k \cdot F_k = 0$, $F_k \cdot D_k = 1$, and $D_k \cdot D_k = -k$.

When $k = 2l$ is even, $(\Sigma_{2l}, \Omega_{2l}|_{\Sigma_{2l}})$ and $(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega)$ are symplectomorphic and the homology classes of the spheres are identified with

$$[\mathbb{CP}^1 \times pt] = F_{2l} \quad \text{and} \quad [pt \times \mathbb{CP}^1] = lF_{2l} + D_{2l}.$$

Therefore $\omega([\mathbb{CP}^1 \times pt]) = 1$ and $\omega([pt \times \mathbb{CP}^1]) = 3l$, which proves (1).

When $k = 2l+1$ is odd, $(\Sigma_{2l+1}, \Omega_{2l}|_{\Sigma_{2l}})$ and $(\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}, \omega)$ are symplectomorphic and the homology classes of L and E are identified with

$$L = (l+1)F_{2l+1} + D_{2l+1} \quad \text{and} \quad E = lF_{2l+1} + D_{2l+1}.$$

Therefore $\omega(L) = 3l+2$ and $\omega(E) = 3l+1$, which proves (2). □

2.2.2. *Subcritical polarizations from algebraic geometry.* Biran and Jerby [BJ11] have proved that if $M \subset \mathbb{CP}^m$ is a smooth algebraic manifold whose dual variety $M^* \subset \mathbb{CP}^{m*}$ has codimension at least 2, then a hyperplane section Σ in M gives a subcritical polarization (M, Σ). Examples of such M are the complex Grassmannians $\mathrm{Gr}(2, 2n+1)$ and $\mathbb{CP}^n$-bundles over smooth projective varieties of dimension less than n with linear fibers. Refer to [Tev03, Section 9.2.C] for other examples.

2.3. **Reduction for critical polarizations.** If $\mathcal{P} = (M^{2n}, \Omega, J; \Sigma, \Delta_{\mathcal{P}})$ is a critical polarization, then $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ has dimension equal to n and is possibly nondisplaceable. Therefore it is not enough that a quasi-morphism have the Calabi property for it to descend, however in light of Proposition 10 we can say the following:

Corollary 14. *Let* $\mathcal{P} = (M^{2n}, \omega, J; \Sigma, \Delta_{\mathcal{P}})$ *be a critical polarization. Spectral quasi-morphisms* $\mu\colon \widetilde{Ham}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ *descend to* $\bar{\mu}\colon \widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$ *as in Theorem 4, provided* $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ *has a neighborhood* U *with zero measure* $\tau_\mu(U) = 0$.

Example 15. Let Σ be the diagonal in $(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega_1 \oplus \omega_1)$. This forms a critical polarization and the anti-diagonal Δ is the corresponding skeleton [Bir01, Section 3.2.1]. The quantum homology $QH_4(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1)$ splits into a direct sum of fields, with idempotents $a_\pm$ and corresponding spectral quasi-morphisms $\mu_\pm$, quasi-states $\zeta_\pm$, and quasi-measures $\tau_\pm$.

In [EP10a, Theorem 1.1] Eliashberg and Polterovich compute that Δ has a neighborhood U with zero measure $\tau_+(U) = 0$, in particular $\tau_+(K) = 1$ where K is an exotic torus disjoint from Δ. Therefore by Corollary 14, μ_+ and ζ_+ descend to $(\Sigma, \omega_1 \oplus \omega_1) = (\mathbb{CP}^1, 2\,\omega_1)$. The reduced quasi-state $\bar{\zeta}_+$ is the median quasi-state, for it is the unique symplectic quasi-state that vanishes on displaceable sets [EP06, Section 8], but the uniqueness of $\mu([S^2], \cdot)$ as a Calabi quasi-morphism on $Ham(S^2)$ is unknown.

3. Proofs

3.1. **The general set-up.** Let (P, ξ) be a closed contact manifold with contact form α and assume the Reeb vector field R generates a free S^1-action on P. The quotient $\Sigma = P/S^1$, has a symplectic form $\sigma = -\pi_* d\alpha$ induced by the projection $\pi\colon P \to \Sigma$. From this principal S^1-bundle, we can build the *standard symplectic disk bundle* $\pi\colon (E, \omega) \to (\Sigma, \sigma)$ *modeled on* P. The total space $E = P \times_{S^1} \mathbb{D}^2$ has a symplectic form given by $\omega = \pi^*\sigma + d(r^2\alpha) = -d((1-r^2)\alpha)$, where r is the radial coordinate on the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}^2$. Note that $\operatorname{sgrad} r^2 = R$, each fiber has area one (by taking $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$), and (Σ, σ) embeds symplecticly into E as the zero section. Given a polarization $\mathcal{P} = (M, \Omega, J; \Sigma)$, the unit normal bundle $P \to \Sigma$ is a prequantization space for (Σ, Ω_Σ), and hence we can form the standard symplectic disk bundle $(E_\Sigma, \omega_{\text{can}}) \to (\Sigma, \Omega_\Sigma)$. This is the bundle from Biran's decomposition theorem (6), compare to [Bir01, Section 2] and [Ops11, Section 1].

Returning to the standard symplectic disk bundle $\pi\colon (E, \omega) \to (\Sigma, \sigma)$ modeled on P, let $\theta\colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be nonnegative, zero in a neighborhood of one, and such that $\theta(r)\colon E \to \mathbb{R}$ as a function of the radial coordinate r is smooth. We have an associated linear, order preserving map

$$\Theta\colon C^\infty(\Sigma, \sigma) \to C^\infty(E, \omega) \quad \text{defined as} \quad H \mapsto \theta \cdot \pi^* H. \tag{10}$$

By integrating over the fiber, one can check that

$$-\int_0^1 \theta'(r)(1-r^2)^n\,dr \int_\Sigma H\,\sigma^{n-1} = \int_E \Theta(H)\,\omega^n, \tag{11}$$

so Θ preserves the property of a function having zero mean and hence induces a map $\Theta\colon \mathcal{PH}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{PH}(E)$. At times we will be interested in the maps Θ_ϵ which are induced by $\theta_\epsilon(r) : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$, which smoothly interpolates between $1-r^2$ when $r \leq 1-\epsilon$ and zero when $r \geq 1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

For ease of notation and future applicability, will prove our lemmas in this general setting. Note that the Θ from (7) in Theorems 4 and 5 is just Θ from (10) combined with the use of the symplectomorphism $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ from (6) to view $(E_\Sigma, \omega_{\mathrm{can}}) = (E, \omega)$ as an open subset of M. So our lemmas will be applicable to the setting of the theorems.

3.2. **An overview of the proofs of Theorem 4 and 5.** Before diving into the details of proving Theorem 4 and 5, let's step back and identify the main issue. We have a homogeneous quasi-morphism $\mu\colon \widetilde{Ham}(E) \to \mathbb{R}$ and a map $\Theta\colon \mathcal{P}Ham(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{P}Ham(E)$, and we want to form a quasi-morphism $\hat{\mu}\colon \widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$. Our situation can be summarized by the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{P}Ham(\Sigma) \arrow[r, "\Theta"] \arrow[d, "p_\Sigma"'] & \mathcal{P}Ham(E) \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, "\mu"] & \\
\widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma) \arrow[rr, dashed, bend right, "\hat{\mu}"'] & \widetilde{Ham}(E) \arrow[r, "\mu"] & \mathbb{R}
\end{tikzcd}$$

If $\Theta\colon \mathcal{H}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{H}(E)$ preserved the Poisson brackets, then we would be done for $\Theta\colon \mathcal{P}Ham(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{P}Ham(E)$ would be a homomorphism and would descend to a homomorphism $\widehat{\Theta}\colon \widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma) \to \widetilde{Ham}(E)$, which we could use to pullback μ to a quasi-morphism on $\widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma)$.

Unfortunately Θ does not preserve the Poisson brackets, but since we only want a quasi-morphism, the full strength of a homomorphism is not necessary. In Section 3.3 we will formulate when a homogeneous quasi-morphism $\mu\colon G \to \mathbb{R}$ can be pulled back by a map $H \to G$ (Lemma 17), and when μ will descend along a quotient $G \to H$ (Lemma 18). We will then show that $\mu\colon \mathcal{P}Ham(E) \to \mathbb{R}$ pulls back along Θ_ϵ to a homogeneous quasi-morphism $\Theta_\epsilon^*\mu\colon \mathcal{P}Ham(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$, which then descends along p_Σ to a homogeneous quasi-morphism $\hat{\mu}\colon \widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$. The technical heart of these two steps occupies Lemmas 19 and 21, from which the other parts of Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 follow.

3.3. **Two group theory lemmas.** For these two lemmas, G and H will be groups and $\mu\colon G \to \mathbb{R}$ will be a homogeneous quasi-morphism with defect $D(\mu)$.

Definition 16. A map $\varphi\colon H \to G$ is *μ-quasi-homomorphism* if there is some $D(\varphi, \mu)$ such that

$$\left|\mu\left(\varphi(h_1h_2)^{-1}\varphi(h_1)\varphi(h_2)\right)\right| \leq D(\varphi,\mu) \quad \text{for all } h_1, h_2 \in H.$$

φ is *μ-homogeneous* if there is some C such that

$$\left|\mu\left(\varphi(h^n)^{-1}\varphi(h)^n\right)\right| \leq C \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } h \in H.$$

Lemma 17. *If $\varphi\colon H \to G$ is a μ-quasi-homomorphism, then φ pulls μ back to $\varphi^*\mu\colon H \to \mathbb{R}$, a quasi-morphism on H, with defect bounded above by $D(\varphi,\mu) + 2D(\mu)$. If φ is also μ-homogeneous, then $\varphi^*\mu$ is a homogeneous quasi-morphism.*

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}|\mu(\varphi(h_1h_2)) - \mu(\varphi(h_1)) - \mu(\varphi(h_2))| &\leq |-\mu(\varphi(h_1h_2)) + \mu(\varphi(h_1)\varphi(h_2))| + D(\mu)\\ &\leq \left|\mu\left(\varphi(h_1h_2)^{-1}\varphi(h_1)\varphi(h_2)\right)\right| + 2D(\mu)\\ &\leq D(\varphi,\mu) + 2D(\mu),\end{aligned}$$

so $\varphi^*\mu$ is a quasi-morphism. If φ is μ-homogeneous, then

$$\begin{aligned}|\mu(\varphi(h^n)) - \mu(\varphi(h)^n)| &= \left|\mu(\varphi(h^n)^{-1}) + \mu(\varphi(h)^n)\right|\\ &\leq \left|\mu\left(\varphi(h^n)^{-1}\varphi(h)^n\right)\right| + D(\mu) \leq C + D(\mu).\end{aligned}$$

Dividing through by n and taking the limit, we see that $\varphi^*\mu$ is its own homogenization. □

Lemma 18. *Let $\varphi\colon G \to H$ be a surjective homomorphism such that μ is bounded on the kernel of φ. Then φ pushes μ forward to a homogeneous quasi-morphism*

$$\varphi_*\mu\colon H \to \mathbb{R} \quad \textit{where} \quad (\varphi_*\mu)(h) = \mu(g) \quad \textit{for any } g \in \varphi^{-1}(h),$$

with defect $D(\mu)$.

Proof. The statement is clear provided that $\varphi_*\mu$ is well defined. Let $B \geq 0$ be such that $|\mu(\ker\varphi)| \leq B$. Now if g_1 and g_2 both map to $h \in H$, then g_1^n and g_2^n differ by an element of the kernel, so

$$n\,|\mu(g_1) - \mu(g_2)| = |\mu(g_1^n) - \mu(g_2^n)| \leq B + D(\mu).$$

Now take the limit as n goes to infinity, to get $\mu(g_1) = \mu(g_2)$. □

3.4. **The proofs of Theorem 4 and Theorem 5.**

We will need the following four lemmas, which are proved in Section 3.5.

Lemma 19. *The map $\Theta\colon C^\infty(\Sigma,\sigma) \to C^\infty(E,\omega)$ preserves the vanishing of Poisson brackets, namely for $H, K \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ we have that*

$$\{\Theta(H), \Theta(K)\}_\omega = \theta(r)^2\{\pi^*H, \pi^*K\}_\omega = \frac{\theta(r)}{1-r^2}\,\Theta(\{H,K\}_\sigma). \tag{12}$$

If $\{f_t\} \in \mathcal{P}Ham(\Sigma)$ *and* $\{\widetilde{f}_t\} \in \mathcal{P}Ham(E)$ *are generated by* $F \in \mathcal{PH}(\Sigma)$ *and* $\Theta_\epsilon(F) \in \mathcal{PH}(E)$*, then*

$$f_t \circ \pi = \pi \circ \widetilde{f}_t \text{ when } r \leq 1 - \epsilon. \tag{13}$$

The term measuring the failure of $\Theta_\epsilon \colon \mathcal{PH}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{PH}(E)$ *to be a homomorphism*

$$\overline{\Theta_\epsilon(F\#G)} \# (\Theta_\epsilon(F)\#\Theta_\epsilon(G)) \colon E \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \tag{14}$$

vanishes at points in E *with* $r \leq 1 - \epsilon$*. This also holds for larger products as well, in particular for* $\overline{\Theta_\epsilon(F^{\#k})} \# (\Theta_\epsilon(F)^{\#k})$*.*

Lemma 20. *If* $X \subset \Sigma$ *is displaceable, then* $\widetilde{X}_a = \pi^{-1}(X) \cap \{r \leq a\} \subset E$ *is displaceable if* $a < 1$*.*

Lemma 21. *Suppose that* $F \in \mathcal{PH}(\Sigma)$ *generates a loop* f*, which is a null homotopic* $[f] = \mathbb{1}$ *in* $\widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma)$*, and let* $\Theta_\epsilon(F) \in \mathcal{PH}(E)$ *generate the path* $\widetilde{f}$*. Then as an element of* $\widetilde{Ham}(E)$*,* $[\widetilde{f}] = [\eta]$ *where* η *is generated by a normalized Hamiltonian on* E *that vanishes when* $r \leq 1 - \epsilon$*.*

Lemma 22. *Let* $\mu \colon \widetilde{Ham}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a stable homogeneous quasi-morphism and let* $H \in C^\infty(M)$ *be a normalized Hamiltonian. For any smooth function* $\lambda \colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$*,*

$$\mu(\phi_{\lambda H}) = \left(\int_0^1 \lambda(t)dt\right) \mu(\phi_H)$$

where $\lambda H \in \mathcal{PH}(M)$ *is a time dependent normalized Hamiltonian.*

3.4.1. *The proof of Theorem 4.*

Proof that μ *descends to* $\hat{\mu}$*.* By picking ϵ_0 small, we can take the neighborhood U of $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ to be the complement of $F_{\mathcal{P}}(r \leq 1 - \epsilon_0) \subset M$, and we will work with $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$.

If $F, G \in \mathcal{PH}(\Sigma)$ are normalized Hamiltonians, then by (14) in Lemma 19

$$\overline{\Theta_\epsilon(F\#G)} \# (\Theta_\epsilon(F)\#\Theta_\epsilon(G)) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\Theta_\epsilon(F^{\#k})} \# \Theta_\epsilon(F)^{\#k} \tag{15}$$

are normalized and supported on U. Since μ restricted to $\widetilde{Ham}_U(M)$ is the Calabi homomorphism, μ vanishes on (15) since they are normalized. Therefore by Lemma 17, Θ_ϵ pulls back μ to a homogeneous quasi-morphism $\Theta_\epsilon^*\mu$ on $\mathcal{PH}(\Sigma)$ with defect at most $2D(\mu)$. It is independent of ϵ since if $\epsilon', \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, then μ vanishes on $\overline{\Theta_\epsilon(F)} \# \Theta_{\epsilon'}(F)$, for it is normalized and supported on U. Hence $\Theta_\epsilon^*\mu = \Theta_{\epsilon'}^*\mu$, for they are homogeneous quasi-morphism a bounded distance apart.

If $F \in \mathcal{PH}(\Sigma)$ generates a null-homotopic element in $\widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma)$, then by Lemma 21 $\Theta_\epsilon(F)$ generates an element $\eta \in \widetilde{Ham}(M)$, which can also be generated by a normalized Hamiltonian in $\mathcal{PH}(M)$ that is supported in U. Therefore by the Calabi property again, $\mu(\eta) = 0$, and hence the quasi-morphism $\Theta_\epsilon^*\mu$ vanishes on the kernel of $\mathcal{PH}(\Sigma) \to \widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma)$. Therefore by

Lemma 18, $\Theta_\epsilon^*\mu$ descends to $\widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma)$ as the homogeneous quasi-morphism $\hat{\mu}$ in (8) with defect at most $2D(\mu)$. □

Proof that $\bar{\mu}$ inheriets the stability and Calabi properties from μ. Let μ be stable and have the Calabi property, and recall that $\bar{\mu} := \zeta(\theta_\epsilon)^{-1}\hat{\mu}$. Observe that for normalized functions $H, K\colon\ \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\min_\Sigma(H-K) = \min_M(\Theta_\epsilon(H) - \Theta_\epsilon(K))$$

and likewise for max. Hence the stability of $\bar{\mu}$ will follow from the stability of μ. The normalization constant $\zeta(\theta_\epsilon)^{-1}$ is independent of ϵ, for small ϵ, because θ_ϵ and $\theta_{\epsilon'}$ Poisson commute and hence $\zeta(\theta_\epsilon) - \zeta(\theta_{\epsilon'}) = \zeta(\theta_\epsilon - \theta_{\epsilon'}) = 0$, for the difference is supported on the set U where μ restricts to the Calabi homomorphism.

To prove the Calabi property for $\bar{\mu}$, let $F\colon\ \Sigma\times[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be supported on a displaceable set $V \subset \Sigma$ and let's denote $\mathrm{Vol} = \mathrm{Vol}(M) = \mathrm{Vol}(\Sigma)$. Consider the normalization terms for F and $\Theta_\epsilon(F)$:

$$\lambda(t) = \mathrm{Vol}^{-1}\int_\Sigma F_t\,\Omega_\Sigma^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(t) = \mathrm{Vol}^{-1}\int_M \Theta_\epsilon(F_t)\,\Omega^n = C_\epsilon\lambda(t),$$

where the last equality uses (11) and the notation $C_\epsilon = \mathrm{Vol}^{-1}\int_M \theta_\epsilon\,\Omega^n$. Since $\Theta_\epsilon(\lambda) - \eta = \lambda\cdot(\theta_\epsilon - C_\epsilon)$, by Lemma 22 we have that

$$\mu(\phi_{\Theta_\epsilon(\lambda)-\eta}) = \left(\int_0^1 \lambda(t)\,dt\right)\mu(\phi_{\theta_\epsilon - C_\epsilon}) = \mathrm{Cal}_V(F)\,\frac{\mu(\phi_{\theta_\epsilon - C_\epsilon})}{\mathrm{Vol}}.$$

By Lemma 20 the support of $\Theta_\epsilon(F)$ is displaceable, so therefore

$$\mu(\phi_{\Theta_\epsilon(F)-\eta}) = \int_0^1\int_M \Theta_\epsilon(F_t)\,\Omega^n dt = C_\epsilon\,\mathrm{Cal}_V(F) = \frac{\int_M \theta_\epsilon\,\Omega^n}{\mathrm{Vol}}\,\mathrm{Cal}_V(F)$$

Bringing this all together and using that $\Theta_\epsilon(F)$ and $\Theta_\epsilon(\lambda)$ commute gives

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu}(\phi_{F-\lambda}) &= \zeta(\theta_\epsilon)^{-1}\left(\mu(\phi_{\Theta_\epsilon(F-\lambda)}) + \mu(\phi_{\Theta_\epsilon(\lambda)-\eta}) - \mu(\phi_{\Theta_\epsilon(\lambda)-\eta})\right)\\ &= \zeta(\theta_\epsilon)^{-1}\left(\mu(\phi_{\Theta_\epsilon(F)-\eta}) - \mu(\phi_{\Theta_\epsilon(\lambda)-\eta})\right)\\ &= \mathrm{Cal}_V(F)\,\zeta(\theta_\epsilon)^{-1}\frac{\int_M \theta_\epsilon\,\Omega^n - \mu(\phi_{\theta_\epsilon - C_\epsilon})}{\mathrm{Vol}} = \mathrm{Cal}_V(F),\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

3.4.2. *The proof of Theorem 5.*

Proof that ζ descends to $\bar{\zeta}_\theta$. The monotonicity and normalization of $\bar{\zeta}_\theta$ are immediate. As for quasi-linearity, if $F, G \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ Poisson commute, then by (12) we have that $\Theta(F), \Theta(G) \in C^\infty(M)$ Poisson commute as well. Therefore

$$\bar{\zeta}_\theta(F+G) = \frac{\zeta(\Theta(F)+\Theta(G))}{\zeta(\theta)} = \frac{\zeta(\Theta(F)) + \zeta(\Theta(G))}{\zeta(\theta)} = \bar{\zeta}_\theta(F) + \bar{\zeta}_\theta(G),$$

so we have that $\bar{\zeta}_\rho$ is infact a symplectic quasi-state. □

Proof that $\bar{\zeta}_\theta$ inherits properties from ζ. Suppose that ζ vanishes on functions with displaceable support. If $H \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ has displaceable support $X \subset \Sigma$, then by Lemma 20, $\Theta(H)\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ does as well and hence $\bar{\zeta}_\theta(H) = \zeta(\Theta(H)) = 0$.

Suppose that $\zeta\colon C^\infty(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ is $Ham(M)$ invariant. Let $\{f_t\}$ be a Hamiltonian isotopy generated by $F \in \mathcal{PH}(\Sigma)$. Let ϵ be small enough so that $\theta(r)$ vanishes when $r > 1-\epsilon$, and let $\Theta_\epsilon(F) \in \mathcal{P}Ham(M)$ generate the Hamiltonian isotopy $\{\widetilde{f}_t\}$. On the image of $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ in M, by (13) we have that $f_t \circ \pi \circ F_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1} = \pi \circ F_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{f}_t$, and hence for $H \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ we have that

$$\Theta(H \circ f_t) = \theta \cdot (H \circ f_t \circ \pi^* \circ F_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}) = (\theta \cdot (H \circ \pi^* \circ F_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1})) \circ \widetilde{f}_t = \Theta(H) \circ \widetilde{f}_t.$$

Therefore $\bar{\zeta}_\theta$ is $Ham(\Sigma)$ invariant, for

$$\bar{\zeta}_\theta(H \circ f_t) = \frac{\zeta(\Theta(H \circ f_t))}{\zeta(\Theta(1))} = \frac{\zeta(\Theta(H) \circ \widetilde{f}_t)}{\zeta(\Theta(1))} = \bar{\zeta}_\theta(H).$$

Finally, for the inequality (5) suppose that ζ satisfies it with $C(\zeta)$. Then by (12), for $H, K \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\bar{\zeta}_\theta}(H,K) = \zeta(\theta)^{-1}\, \Pi_\zeta(\Theta(H), \Theta(K)) &\leq \frac{C(\zeta)}{\zeta(\theta)} \sqrt{\|\{\Theta(H), \Theta(K)\}\|} \\ &\leq \frac{C(\zeta)}{\zeta(\theta)} \left\| \frac{\theta(r)}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \right\| \sqrt{\|\{H,K\}\|}. \end{aligned}$$

So therefore $C(\bar{\zeta}_\theta) \leq \frac{C(\zeta)}{\zeta(\theta)} \left\| \frac{\theta(r)}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \right\|$. □

3.5. **The proofs of Lemmas 19, 20, 21, and 22.**

Proof of Lemma 19. We will start by computing $\pi_*(\operatorname{sgrad} \pi^* H)$. For a vector $X \in T_{(p,r)}E$

$$\begin{aligned} -dH(\pi_* X) &= \omega(\operatorname{sgrad} \pi^* H, X) \\ &= (\pi^*\sigma + r^2 d\alpha)(\operatorname{sgrad} \pi^* H, X) \qquad \text{by } \iota_{\operatorname{sgrad} \pi^* H}(dr \wedge \alpha) = 0 \\ &= (1-r^2)\, \sigma(\pi_*(\operatorname{sgrad} \pi^* H), \pi_* X) \qquad \text{by } \sigma = -\pi_* d\alpha \end{aligned}$$

so we conclude that $\pi_*(\operatorname{sgrad} \pi^* H) = \frac{1}{1-r^2} \operatorname{sgrad} H$. Therefore

$$\pi_*(\operatorname{sgrad} \Theta(H)) = \theta(r)\, \pi_*(\operatorname{sgrad} \pi^* H) = \frac{\theta(r)}{1-r^2} \operatorname{sgrad} H. \tag{16}$$

Now since $\pi^* H$ and $\theta(r)$ Poisson commute, the computation for (12) is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Theta(H), \Theta(K)\}_\omega &= \theta(r)^2 \{\pi^* H, \pi^* K\}_\omega = \theta(r)^2\, dH(\pi_* \operatorname{sgrad} \pi^* K) \\ &= \frac{\theta(r)^2}{1-r^2} \pi^*(\{H,K\}_\sigma) = \frac{\theta(r)}{1-r^2} \Theta(\{H,K\}_\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Going to the path space side, using (16) we see that $\pi\circ\widetilde{f}_t\colon E\to\Sigma$ satisfies the differential equation

$$\partial_t(\pi\circ\widetilde{f}_t)=\left(\frac{\theta_\epsilon(r)}{1-r^2}\operatorname{sgrad}F_t\right)_{\pi\circ\widetilde{f}_t}\qquad\text{with initial condition }\pi\circ\widetilde{f}_0=\pi.$$

Staring at a point with $\theta_\epsilon(r)=1-r^2$, then $f_t\circ\pi$ also satisfies this equation, so (13) follows by the uniqueness of solutions to ODEs. Denoting by $\widetilde{(fg)}_t$ the Hamiltonian path generated by $\Theta_\epsilon(F\#G)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\Theta_\epsilon(F\#G)}\,\#\,(\Theta_\epsilon(F)\#\Theta_\epsilon(G))&=(-\Theta_\epsilon(F\#G)+\Theta_\epsilon(F)\#\Theta_\epsilon(G))\circ\widetilde{(fg)}_t\\&=\theta_\epsilon(r)\,\left(-G\circ f_t^{-1}\circ\pi+G\circ\pi\circ\widetilde{f}_t^{-1}\right)\circ\widetilde{(fg)}_t,\end{aligned}$$

which vanishes when $r\leq 1-\epsilon$ since then we can use that $f_t^{-1}\circ\pi=\pi\circ\widetilde{f}_t^{-1}$. The proof for larger products is the same. □

Proof of Lemma 20. Let $F\in\mathcal{PH}(\Sigma)$ generate the isotopy f which displaces X. Pick $\epsilon>0$ so that $1-\epsilon>a$ and let $\widetilde{f}$ be the isotopy generated by $\Theta_\epsilon(F)\in\mathcal{PH}(E)$. It follows from (13) that $\widetilde{f}$ displaces $\widetilde{X}_a$. □

Proof of Lemma 21. Let φ_t^s be a homotopy of loops in $Ham(\Sigma)$ based at $\mathbb{1}$, between the loop $\{\varphi_t^0=f_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ and the constant loop $\{\varphi_t^1=\mathbb{1}\}_{t\in[0,1]}$. For s fixed, let $F_t^s\colon\Sigma\to\mathbb{R}$ be the Hamiltonian in $\mathcal{PH}(\Sigma)$ generating the Hamiltonian loop $\{\varphi_t^s\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ in $Ham(\Sigma)$, via

$$\partial_t\varphi_t^s=(\operatorname{sgrad}F_t^s)_{\varphi_t^s}\quad\text{with}\quad\varphi_0^s=\mathbb{1}.$$

Note that $F_t^0=F_t$ and $F_t^1=0$. While for t fixed, let $G_t^s\colon\Sigma\to\mathbb{R}$ be the Hamiltonian in $\mathcal{PH}(\Sigma)$ generating the Hamiltonian path $\{\varphi_t^s\}_{s\in[0,1]}$ in $Ham(\Sigma)$, via

$$\partial_s\varphi_t^s=(\operatorname{sgrad}G_t^s)_{\varphi_t^s}\quad\text{with}\quad\varphi_t^0=f_t.$$

They are related by the equation [Ban78, Proposition I.1.1]

$$\partial_sF_t^s=\partial_tG_t^s+\{F_t^s,G_t^s\}.\tag{17}$$

Fixing s, the Hamiltonian $\Theta_\epsilon(F_t^s)\colon E\to\mathbb{R}$ in $\mathcal{PH}(E)$ will generate a Hamiltonian path $\{\psi_t^s\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ in $Ham(E)$, via

$$\partial_t\psi_t^s=(\operatorname{sgrad}\Theta_\epsilon(F_t^s))_{\psi_t^s}\quad\text{with}\quad\psi_0^s=\mathbb{1}.$$

As s varies, ψ_s^t will be a homotopy of Hamiltonian paths in $Ham(E)$, between the paths $\{\psi_t^0=\widetilde{f}_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ and $\{\psi_t^1=\mathbb{1}\}_{t\in[0,1]}$. However this will not be a homotopy of loops, since in particular $\{\widetilde{f}_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ may not be a loop. Letting t be fixed, let $H_t^s\colon E\to\mathbb{R}$ be the Hamiltonian in $\mathcal{PH}(E)$ generating the Hamiltonian path $\{\psi_t^s\}_{s\in[0,1]}$ in $Ham(E)$, via

$$\partial_s\psi_t^s=(\operatorname{sgrad}H_t^s)_{\psi_t^s}\quad\text{with}\quad\psi_t^0=\widetilde{f}_t.$$

Just as in (17), we have the relation

$$\partial_s\Theta_\epsilon(F_t^s)=\partial_tH_t^s+\{\Theta_\epsilon(F_t^s),H_t^s\}.\tag{18}$$

Define the path $\{\eta_u = (\psi_1^u)^{-1}\psi_1^0\}_{u\in[0,1]}$ in $Ham(E)$, which is a path from $\eta_0 = \mathbb{1}$ to $\eta_1 = \psi_1^0$, using that $\psi_t^1 = \mathbb{1}$. Observe that $\Psi_t^s = \psi_t^s \eta_{st}$ is a homotopy of paths in $Ham(E)$, between the paths

$$\{\Psi_t^0 = \psi_t^0 = \tilde{f}_t\}_{t\in[0,1]} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\Psi_t^1 = \eta_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}.$$

Since Ψ is a homotopy of paths with fixed endpoints,

$$\Psi_0^s = \mathbb{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_1^s = \psi_1^s \eta_s = \psi_1^0,$$

we have proved that $[\widetilde{f}] = [\eta]$ in $\widetilde{Ham}(E)$.

The path η is generated by the normalized Hamiltonian $(-H_1^u \circ \psi_1^u)$, which we claim vanish at points with $r \le 1-\epsilon$. It suffices to prove this for H_1^u since ψ_t^s preserves the level sets of r. Applying Θ_ϵ to (17) and using (12) of Lemma 19 gives that

$$\partial_s \Theta_\epsilon(F_t^s) = \partial_t \Theta_\epsilon(G_t^s) + \frac{(1-r^2)}{\theta_\epsilon(r)}\{\Theta_\epsilon(F_t^s), \Theta_\epsilon(G_t^s)\}. \tag{19}$$

When $r \le 1-\epsilon$, we have that $\theta_\epsilon(r) = 1-r^2$ and so the differential equations (18) and (19) agree. Therefore by the method of characteristics for PDE's, $H_t^s = \Theta_\epsilon(G_t^s)$ when $r \le 1-\epsilon$. The fact that φ is a homotopy of paths with fixed endpoints means that $G_1^s = 0$, and therefore $H_1^s = 0$ when $r \le 1-\epsilon$. □

Proof of Lemma 22. If $L(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(s)ds$ and h_t is the flow generated by sgrad(H), then the Hamiltonian λH generates the Hamiltonian isotopy $\phi_{\lambda H} = \{h_{L(t)}\}_{t\in[0,1]}$. By a time reparameterization, in $\widetilde{Ham}(M)$ we have that $[\{h_{L(t)}\}] = [\{h_{tL(1)}\}]$ and hence $\mu(\phi_{\lambda H}) = \mu(\phi_{L(1)H})$. If m is an integer, then $\mu(\phi_{mH}) = \mu(\phi_H^m) = m\mu(\phi_H)$ since μ is homogeneous and hence $\mu(\phi_{aH}) = a\mu(\phi_H)$ when a is rational. Since μ is stable, this extends to any real scalar, so in particular $\mu(\phi_{L(1)H}) = L(1)\mu(\phi_H)$. □

3.6. **The proof of Theorem 2.**

Proof of Theorem 2. Since $X \times S^1$ is displaceable in $M \times T^*S^1$, it is also displaceable in $M \times S^1 \times [-R,R]$ where the last coordinate is the vertical direction in T^*S^1. Capping $S^1 \times [-R,R]$ off on the top and bottom with half spheres creates an sphere. Therefore by Moser we may assume that $X \times S^1 \subset M \times (S^2,\Omega)$ is displaceable, where (S^2,Ω) is a round sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates (x,y,z), Ω is the induced area form, and S^1 is the equator $\{z=0\} \subset S^2$.

Let U and $V = \{|z| < 2\epsilon\}$ be open neighborhoods of X and S^1 respectively such that $U \times V \subset M \times S^2$ is still displaceable. Let $\rho\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a cut-off function with support in U that is a constant 1 near X. On S^2, let $V_1 = \{z > \epsilon\}$ and $V_2 = \{z < -\epsilon\}$, so $\{V = V_0, V_1, V_2\}$ is an open cover of S^2 and let $\phi_i(z)$ be a Poisson commuting subordinate partition of unity. Note that each $U \times V_i \subset M \times S^2$ is displaceable.

Let $\pi_1\colon M \times S^2 \to M$ and $\pi_2\colon M \times S^2 \to S^2$ be the projections and let $\zeta(a \otimes [S^2], \cdot)$ be the partial symplectic quasi-state on $M \times S^2$ associated to the idempotent $a \otimes [S^2] \in QH_{2n+2}(M \times S^2)$, see [EP09, Section 3.5]. Since the ϕ_i pullback to a Poisson commuting partition on unity on $M \times S^2$,

$$\zeta(a \otimes [S^2], \pi_1^* \rho) = \zeta\left(a \otimes [S^2], \sum_{i=0}^{2} \pi_1^* \rho \cdot \pi_2^* \phi_i\right) = 0.$$

The above vanishes by the partial additivity and vanishing property [EP09, Theorem 3.6] of partial symplectic quasi-states, using that the $\pi_1^* \rho \cdot \pi_2^* \phi_i$ Poisson commute and have displaceable support. Finally by the product formula for spectral invariants [EP09, Theorem 5.1], we have that

$$\zeta(a, \rho) = \zeta(a \otimes [S^2], \pi_1^* \rho) = 0.$$

It now follows that from the definition of $\tau(a)$ that $\tau(a, X) = 0$. $\square$

4. QUESTIONS

We will end this paper with two questions regarding the process of passing from a quasi-morphism $\mu : \widetilde{Ham}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ to its reduction $\bar{\mu} : \widetilde{Ham}(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$ as described in Theorem 4.

1. If μ descends from the universal cover to $\mu : Ham(M) \to \mathbb{R}$, does its reduction also descend to $\bar{\mu} : Ham(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$?
2. If $\mu = \mu(a, \cdot) : \widetilde{Ham}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a spectral quasi-morphism for some idempotent $a \in QH_{2n}(M)$, then does its reduction $\bar{\mu} = \mu(\bar{a}, \cdot)$ come for some idempotent $\bar{a} \in QH_{2n-2}(\Sigma)$?

The trouble with proving 1) is that $\Theta_\epsilon : \mathcal{P}Ham(M) \to \mathcal{P}Ham(\Sigma)$ does not preserve loops due to the discrepancy between $\theta_\epsilon(r)$ and $1 - r^2$. For 2) the trouble is that there is no natural candidate for $\bar{a}$ since there is no known natural map $QH_{2n}(M) \to QH_{2n-2}(\Sigma)$ preserving the ring structure.

REFERENCES

[Aar91] J. F. Aarnes. Quasi-states and quasi-measures. *Adv. Math.*, 86(1):41–67, 1991.

[Ban78] A. Banyaga. Sur la structure du groupe des difféomorphismes qui préservent une forme symplectique. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 53(2):174–227, 1978.

[BC01] P. Biran and K. Cieliebak. Symplectic topology on subcritical manifolds. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 76(4):712–753, 2001.

[BEP11] L. Buhovsky, M. Entov, and L. Polterovich. Poisson brackets and symplectic invariants. arXiv:1103.3198v1, 2011.

[Bir01] P. Biran. Lagrangian barriers and symplectic embeddings. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 11(3):407–464, 2001.

[Bir06] P. Biran. Lagrangian non-intersections. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 16(2):279–326, 2006.

[BJ11] P. Biran and Y. Jerby. The symplectic topology of projective manifolds with small dual. Preprint, 2011.

[BK11] P. Biran and M. Khanevsky. A Floer-Gysin exact sequence for Lagrangian submanifolds. arXiv:1101.0946v1, 2011.

[Bor11] M. S. Borman. Quasi-states, quasi-morphisms, and the moment map. arXiv:1105.1805, 2011.

[Buh10] L. Buhovsky. The 2/3-convergence rate for the Poisson bracket. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 19(6):1620–1649, 2010.

[Cal09] D. Calegari. *scl*, volume 20 of *MSJ Memoirs*. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2009.

[CV08] F. Cardin and C. Viterbo. Commuting Hamiltonians and Hamilton-Jacobi multi-time equations. *Duke Math. J.*, 144(2):235–284, 2008.

[Don96] S. K. Donaldson. Symplectic submanifolds and almost-complex geometry. *J. Differential Geom.*, 44(4):666–705, 1996.

[EP03] M. Entov and L. Polterovich. Calabi quasimorphism and quantum homology. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (30):1635–1676, 2003.

[EP06] M. Entov and L. Polterovich. Quasi-states and symplectic intersections. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 81(1):75–99, 2006.

[EP08] M. Entov and L. Polterovich. Symplectic quasi-states and semi-simplicity of quantum homology. In *Toric topology*, volume 460 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 47–70. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[EP09] M. Entov and L. Polterovich. Rigid subsets of symplectic manifolds. *Compos. Math.*, 145(3):773–826, 2009.

[EP10a] Y. Eliashberg and L. Polterovich. Symplectic quasi-states on the quadric surface and Lagrangian submanifolds. arXiv:1006.2501v1, 2010.

[EP10b] M. Entov and L. Polterovich. C^0-rigidity of Poisson brackets. In *Symplectic topology and measure preserving dynamical systems*, volume 512 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 25–32. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

[EPZ07] M. Entov, L. Polterovich, and F. Zapolsky. Quasi-morphisms and the Poisson bracket. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 3(4, Special Issue: In honor of Grigory Margulis. Part 1):1037–1055, 2007.

[FOOO11] K. Fukaya, Y.-G. Oh, H. Ohta, and K. Ono. Spectral invariants with bulk quasimorphisms and Lagrangian Floer theory. arXiv:1105.5123v1, 2011.

[Gir02] E. Giroux. Géométrie de contact: de la dimension trois vers les dimensions supérieures. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002)*, pages 405–414, Beijing, 2002. Higher Ed. Press.

[Gür08] B. Gürel. Totally non-coisotropic displacement and its applications to Hamiltonian dynamics. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 10(6):1103–1128, 2008.

[Kot04] D. Kotschick. What is... a quasi-morphism? *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51(2):208–209, 2004.

[LM96] F. Lalonde and D. McDuff. The classification of ruled symplectic 4-manifolds. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 3(6):769–778, 1996.

[MS98] D. McDuff and D. Salamon. *Introduction to symplectic topology*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1998.

[Ops11] E. Opshtein. Polarizations and symplectic isotopies. *J. Symplectic Geom. (to appear)*, 2011. arXiv:0911.3601v1.

[Ost06] Y. Ostrover. Calabi quasi-morphisms for some non-monotone symplectic manifolds. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 6:405–434 (electronic), 2006.

[Pol01] L. Polterovich. *The geometry of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.

[She11] E. Shelukhin. The action homomorphism, quasimorphisms and moment maps on the space of compatible almost complex structures. arXiv:1105.5814v1, 2011.

[Tev03] E. A. Tevelev. Projectively dual varieties. *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)*, 117(6):4585–4732, 2003.

[Ush10] M. Usher. Deformed Hamiltonian Floer theory, capacity estimates, and Calabi quasimorphisms. arXiv:1006.5390v1, 2010.

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637
E-mail address: `borman@math.uchicago.edu`